arXiv:1302.0985v1 [math.GR] 5 Feb 2013

$\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$ NEED NOT HOLD IN FINITE SEMIGROUPS

ANDREAS DISTLER, VICTOR MALTCEV, AND ABDULLAHI UMAR

Abstract. We provide an example of minimum size of a finite semigroup with $\mathcal{J}^* \neq \mathcal{D}^*$. We introduce the notion of starred stability and prove that every starred stable semigroup has $\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Green's relations are one of the most fundamental instruments in Semigroup Theory. In the hope to expand on the amount of such instruments, in [6] it was proposed to study the *starred Green's relations*, which we now define. So, let S be a semigroup and $a, b \in S$. We say that

- $a\mathcal{R}^*b$ if for all $x, y \in S^1$, $xa = ya$ if and only if $xb = yb$;
- $a\mathcal{L}^*b$ if for all $x, y \in S^1$, $ax = ay$ if and only if $bx = by$.

One checks that $\mathcal{R}^*$ and $\mathcal{L}^*$ are equivalence relations on S. It is a nice fact (which is quite easy to verify) that, for $a, b \in S$, $a\mathcal{R}^*b$ if and only if a and b are $\mathcal{R}$-related in some semigroup containing S; and, dually, $a\mathcal{L}^*b$ if and only if a and b are $\mathcal{L}$-related in some semigroup containing S. Another nice fact is that $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}$ in any regular semigroup. Also, one sees that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}^*$ and $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^*$.

By analogy with how $\mathcal{D}$ is defined, now we let $\mathcal{D}^*$ be the equivalence relation on S generated by $\mathcal{R}^*$ and $\mathcal{L}^*$. Note that unlike the case with the classical Green's relations where $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R}$, for the starred case in general $\mathcal{R}^* \circ \mathcal{L}^* \neq \mathcal{L}^* \circ \mathcal{R}^*$, see [3] for an example. However, one readily sees that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*$.

Now let us discuss how one defines $\mathcal{J}^*$. One first defines the principal star-ideal $J^*(a)$ generated by $a \in S$, and then says that $a\mathcal{J}^*b$ if and only if $b \in J^*(a)$ and $a \in J^*(b)$. The characterisation of star-principal ideals can be taken from [3]:

Lemma 1.1. *For $a, b \in S$, $b \in J^*(a)$ if and only if there exist $c_0, c_1 \dots, c_n \in S$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in S^1$ such that $a = c_0$, $b = c_n$ and $c_i\mathcal{D}^*x_ic_{i-1}y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

It is clear that $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$ and $\mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$.

It is well-known that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}$ in every finite semigroup. The aim of this note is to resolve the same question, posed first by Peter Higgins, for the starred case – whether $\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$ for finite semigroups? We tried to answer this question just by 'bare hand', and could not succeed. Only using GAP [4], we could come up with an example of a finite semigroup with $\mathcal{J}^* \neq \mathcal{D}^*$. We discovered this example by analysing the semigroups in the data library Smallsemi [2]. An exhaustive search in the library revealed that 8 is the smallest size of a semigroup with $\mathcal{J}^* \neq \mathcal{D}^*$ and provided us with a complete list, up to isomorphism or anti-isomorphism, of all 60 such semigroups of size 8. See more details on the search and its results in Section 2.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification. 20M20, 20M30.*

Key words and phrases. Starred Green's relations, finite semigroups, stability.

The natural next question to ask is under what conditions $\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$ holds. This holds for finite regular semigroups, for which $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{L}^* = \mathcal{L}$. In [3] it is mentioned to hold for superabundant semigroups. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of *starred stable* semigroups and prove that $\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$ for such semigroups.

2. Minimal Examples

Consider the following multiplication table:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
6	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1
7	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	6
8	1	1	1	3	1	2	5	1

One checks that this table gives rise to a semigroup S. It is easy to see that S is nilpotent (3-generated of nilpotency degree 4 and with 1 being the zero) and consequently all $\mathcal{D}$-classes are singletons.

The relation $\mathcal{R}^*$ in S follows directly from the columns of the multiplication table and respectively $\mathcal{L}^*$ from the rows:

- $\mathcal{R}^*$-classes are $\{1\}$, $\{2\}$, $\{3,5\}$, $\{4,7\}$, $\{6\}$, $\{8\}$;
- $\mathcal{L}^*$-classes are $\{1\}$, $\{2,3,4\}$, $\{5\}$, $\{6\}$, $\{7\}$, $\{8\}$.

We conclude further:

- $\mathcal{D}^*$-classes are $\{1\}$, $\{2,3,4,5,7\}$, $\{6\}$, $\{8\}$.

As mentioned above, $\mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$. Note also that $2 = 6 \cdot 4$, and so $2 \in J^*(6)$; and that $6 = 7 \cdot 8$ and so $6 \in J^*(7) = J^*(2)$. Thus $2\mathcal{J}^*6$ and hence $\mathcal{J}^* \neq \mathcal{D}^*$. One finds:

- $\mathcal{J}^*$-classes are $\{1\}$, $\{2,3,4,5,6,7\}$, $\{8\}$.

Using the data library Smallsemi [2] of semigroups with up to 8 elements in GAP [4] we verified that S has minimal size for a semigroup with $\mathcal{J}^* \neq \mathcal{D}^*$. Our implementation to compute starred Green's relations follows directly their definitions and will be available in a forthcoming release of Smallsemi. We further identified all semigroups of size 8 with $\mathcal{J}^* \neq \mathcal{D}^*$ up to isomorphism or anti-isomorphism. Every semigroup in Smallsemi is uniquely determined by a pair of positive integers: the first component equals the size of the semigroup and the second component is its position within the semigroups of the same size in the library. The following is a list of the second components of the 60 semigroups of size 8 with $\mathcal{J}^* \neq \mathcal{D}^*$:

```
471, 1053, 29079, 29931, 30443, 33085, 36475, 38965, 274853, 274854,
274855, 276804, 278282, 278285, 278294, 278297, 354404, 357048, 632107,
636566, 1194268, 1196070, 1196071, 1196072, 1196073, 1196074, 1655347,
1655397, 1656172, 3742776, 4029555, 4281609, 4282681, 4380135, 4380137,
4380217, 4553910, 7244013, 7244018, 7289374, 7317920, 7317942, 7321531,
7370920, 7391112, 7392482, 7392489, 7393262, 7508166, 7514400, 9017363,
9017557, 9024104, 9068861, 9171139, 9294889, 9678373, 9678415, 10482802,
10482808
```

The semigroup S corresponds to the first number in the list and there are further 11 nilpotent semigroups all 3-generated and with nilpotency degree 4. The semigroups have between 1 and 5 idempotents and remarkably in all except one semigroup the idempotents form a subsemigroup. The exceptional case has the identifier $(\mathtt{8}, \mathtt{9294889})$ and its multiplication table is

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
3	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2
4	1	2	3	4	4	2	3	4
5	1	2	3	4	4	2	3	4
6	1	1	1	1	1	6	6	6
7	1	1	1	1	1	6	6	6
8	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

We can now easily create infinite families of semigroups for which $\mathcal{J}^* \neq \mathcal{D}^*$ since this property is preserved by adjoining a zero or an identity to a given semigroup. In this way we obtain examples of every finite size greater or equal to 8.

3. Starred Stability

Recall the following definition, which we take from [5]:

Definition 3.1. A $\mathcal{J}$-class J of a semigroup S is said to be *right stable* if it satisfies one (and hence all) of the following equivalent conditions:

(1) The set of all $\mathcal{R}$-classes in J has a minimal element.
(2) There exists $q \in J$ satisfying the following property: $q\mathcal{J}qx$ if and only if $q\mathcal{R}qx$ for all $x \in S$.
(3) Every $q \in J$ satisfies the property stated in (2).
(4) Every $\mathcal{R}$-class in J is minimal in the set of $\mathcal{R}$-classes in J.

We say that the whole semigroup is *right stable* if every $\mathcal{J}$-class of S is right stable. The notion of left stability is defined dually. A $\mathcal{J}$-class or a semigroup are said to be *(two-sided) stable* if they are both left and right stable.

It is well-known that stable semigroups satisfy $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{D}$ (see [5]). We would like to prove some starred analogue of this fact. Since there is really no convenient way to put an order on $\mathcal{R}^*$- and $\mathcal{L}^*$-classes, we go for the following definition:

Definition 3.2. A semigroup S is *right starred stable* if for every $q, x \in S$, $q\mathcal{J}^*qx$ if and only if $q\mathcal{R}^*qx$. Dually, S is *left starred stable* if for every $q, x \in S$, $q\mathcal{J}^*xq$ if and only if $q\mathcal{L}^*xq$. Finally, S is *starred stable* if it is both left and right starred stable.

Any null semigroup is starred stable, so the previous definition is not vacuous. Therefore the following theorem gives us a source of (finite or infinite) semigroups with $\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$:

Theorem 3.3. *Let S be a starred stable semigroup. Then $\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$ in S.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \mathcal{J}^*$, it suffices to show only $\mathcal{J}^* \subseteq \mathcal{D}^*$. So, let $a, b \in S$ be such that $a\mathcal{J}^*b$. By Lemma 1.1 this means that there exist

- $c_1, \ldots, c_n, d_1, \ldots, d_m \in S$ and

- $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}, z_1, \dots, z_{m+1}, t_1, \dots, t_{m+1} \in S^1$ with

$$\begin{aligned} &(c_1, x_1 a y_1) \in \mathcal{D}^* && (d_1, z_1 b t_1) \in \mathcal{D}^* \\ &(c_2, x_2 c_1 y_2) \in \mathcal{D}^* && (d_2, z_2 d_1 t_2) \in \mathcal{D}^* \\ &\qquad\vdots && \qquad\vdots \\ &(c_n, x_n c_{n-1} y_n) \in \mathcal{D}^* && (d_m, z_m d_{m-1} t_m) \in \mathcal{D}^* \\ &(b, x_{n+1} c_n y_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{D}^* && (a, z_{m+1} d_m t_{m+1}) \in \mathcal{D}^*. \end{aligned}$$

From these conditions and Lemma 1.1, we see that $b \in J^*(x_1 a)$. But also $a \in J^*(b)$ and so $x_1 a \in J^*(b)$. Hence $x_1 a \mathcal{J}^* b$. Since $b \mathcal{J}^* a$, we have then that $x_1 a \mathcal{J}^* a$. By starred stability we derive that $x_1 a \mathcal{L}^* a$. Since $\mathcal{L}^*$ is a right congruence, we obtain that $x_1 a y_1 \mathcal{L}^* a y_1$ and therefore $(c_1, a y_1) \in \mathcal{D}^*$.

Now, we notice that $a y_1 \in J^*(a)$ and $b \in J^*(a y_1)$, and so $a y_1 \mathcal{J}^* a$. By starred stability this yields $a y_1 \mathcal{R}^* a$. Thus $c_1 \mathcal{D}^* a$.

In effect, what we have just proved is that without loss of generality we may assume that $x_1 = y_1 = 1$. By the same token we can show that without loss one may assume that $x_1 = \dots = x_n = y_1 = \dots = y_n = 1$ and $z_1 = \dots = z_m = t_1 = \dots = t_m = 1$. Now our previous conditions imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &(c_n, a) \in \mathcal{D}^* && (d_m, b) \in \mathcal{D}^* \\ &(b, x_{n+1} c_n y_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{D}^* && (a, z_{m+1} d_m t_{m+1}) \in \mathcal{D}^*. \end{aligned}$$

From these conditions one derives that $b \in J^*(x_{n+1} c_n)$. But $c_n \mathcal{D}^* a$ and so $c_n \in J^*(a) = J^*(b)$, yielding $x_{n+1} c_n \in J^*(b)$. Therefore $x_{n+1} c_n \mathcal{J}^* b$. But $b \mathcal{J}^* x_{n+1} c_n y_{n+1}$ and so $x_{n+1} c_n \mathcal{J}^* x_{n+1} c_n \cdot y_{n+1}$. By starred stability we derive that $x_{n+1} c_n \mathcal{R}^* x_{n+1} c_n y_{n+1}$ and so $b \mathcal{D}^* x_{n+1} c_n$. Furthermore, $c_n \mathcal{J}^* a \mathcal{J}^* b \mathcal{J}^* x_{n+1} c_n$, and so by starred stability $c_n \mathcal{L}^* x_{n+1} c_n$. In particular, $x_{n+1} c_n \mathcal{D}^* c_n \mathcal{D}^* a$. Together with $b \mathcal{D}^* x_{n+1} c_n$, the latter gives us $a \mathcal{D}^* b$, as required. □

Theorem 3.3 implies that the example from Section 2 is not starred stable.

We also checked with GAP that the converse of Theorem 3.3 does not hold and found that the smallest size of a non-starred stable semigroup with $\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$ is 4, and that actually there is only one such semigroup of size 4:

	1	2	3	4
1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	2	2

Lemma 3.4. *Let S be a nilpotent semigroup of nilpotency degree 3. Then $\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{D}^*$ in S.*

Proof. The zero element of S is a singleton class in both $\mathcal{D}^*$ and $\mathcal{J}^*$. All elements in $S^2 \setminus S^3$ are $\mathcal{D}^*$ related. Let $a, b \in S \setminus S^3$ be two elements that are not $\mathcal{D}^*$-related. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a \in S \setminus S^2$, but by Lemma 1.1 the only elements from $S \setminus S^2$ that can be contained in $J^*(b)$ are those already $\mathcal{D}^*$-related to b. Hence a and b are not $\mathcal{J}^*$-related, completing the proof. □

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported within the project PTDC/MAT/101993/2008 of Centro de Álgebra da Universidade de Lisboa, financed by FCT and FEDER.

References

[1] Andreas Distler. *Classification and Enumeration of Finite Semigroups.* Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2010. *also* PhD thesis, University of St Andrews, 2010, `http://hdl.handle.net/10023/945`.

[2] A. Distler and J. D. Mitchell. *Smallsemi — a GAP package, version 0.6.5*, 2012. `http://tinyurl.com/jdmitchell/smallsemi/`.

[3] J. Fountain, Abundant semigroups, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **44** (1982) 103-129.

[4] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.5.7*; 2012, `(\protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://www.gap-system.org}{http://www.gap-system.org})`.

[5] G. Lallement, Semigroups and combinatorial applications, *Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, New York-Chichester-Brisbane* (1979).

[6] E. G. Shutov, Embeddings of semigroups, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Nineteen Papers on Algebraic Semigroups (2)* **139** (1988) 197–213.

Centro de Algebra da Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal
E-mail address: `adistler@fc.ul.pt`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Sultan Qaboos University, Al-Khodh 123, Muscat, Sultanate of Oman
E-mail address: `victor.maltcev@gmail.com, aumarh@squ.edu.om`